

Burundi English School Non-Examinations Assessment (including controlled assessment and coursework Policy)

CONTENTS

I. PURPOSE OF THE POLICY

II. WHAT DOES THIS POLICY AFFECT?

- a. What are non-examination assessments?
- b. What is coursework?
- c. Non examination stages

III. PROCEDURES FOR PLANNING AND MANAGING NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENTS IDENTIFYING STAFF ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- a. General roles and responsibilities
- b. Task setting
- c. Issuing of tasks
- d. Task taking
- e. Task marking-internally assessed components
- f. Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments
- g. Special consideration and loss of work
- h. Malpractice
- i. Post-results services
- j. Private Candidates

IV. MANAGEMENT OF ISSUES AND POTENTIAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH NON - EXAMINATION ASSESSMENTS

I. PURPOSE OF THE POLICY

This policy confirms the JCQ requirement that B.E.S(Burundi English School) has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework.

Awarding bodies requires centres to have a non-examination assessment policy in place to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments,
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments,

II. WHAT DOES THIS POLICY AFFECT?

This policy affects the delivery of GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessments (where applicable) and coursework.

a. What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessment (NEA) Referring to the regulators' definition, a non-examination assessment will be any type of assessment that is:

- Not set by an awarding body. Not designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- Not taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment). "NEA" therefore includes, but is not limited to,
- internal assessment.
- Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres.

b. What is coursework?

Coursework (a generic term) includes the work required in Project qualifications and internally assessed work in other qualifications. Coursework components assess candidates' skills, knowledge and understanding that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. It will take many different forms.

c. Non-examination assessment stages

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers. There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- Stage one: task setting
- Stage two: task taking
- Stage three: task marking

III. PROCEDURES FOR PLANNING AND MANAGING NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENTS IDENTIFYING STAFF ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

a. General roles and responsibilities

Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include non-examination assessments, controlled assessment (where relevant) and coursework.

1. Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessments.
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking.
- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which complies with Pearson's subject-specific instructions regarding NEAs
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

2. Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role)

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates.
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardize/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture /record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources, etc.

3. Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with **the QA lead/Lead internal verifier** (or equivalent role) to ensure appropriate appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardize /verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

4. Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as regarding NEAS.
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website.
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body.

- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries for the relevant exam series.

5.Exams Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA and ICC documents to relevant centre staff.
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment.

•

b. Task Setting (Roles and Responsibilities)

Subject Teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body or designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification.
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work.

c. Issuing of tasks (Roles and responsibilities)

Subject Teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body.
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times

. Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

d. Task Taking (Roles and responsibilities)

1. Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision that the work produced is the candidate's own

- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents (**Information for candidates-non-examination assessments and Information for candidates-social media**)
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant **JCQ Information for candidates' documents**
- Ensures candidates:
 - understand that information from all sources must be referenced
 - receive guidance on setting out references
 - are aware that they must not plagiarize other material

2. Advice and feedback

Subject Teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

3. Resources

Subject Teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted /unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ documents AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications(<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice>) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and regulator

-By referencing this document and the centre's malpractice policy, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment

- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

4. Word and time limits

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

5. Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

6. Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met.
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and **informs the appropriate staff**
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the Centre to zero

7.Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA or ICC unless the awarding body's
- Specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment appropriately
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

8.Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session) and when work is submitted by candidate for final assessment.
- Follows secure storage instructions,
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series and once the review and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means(document Information for candidates-social media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure its' protection and back-up and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions.
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required.

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilizes appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up and implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks
- Consider encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

e. Task Marking-internally assessed components (Roles and Responsibilities)

1. Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for the moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marketing process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardization of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the Centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

2. Internal standardization

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role)

- Ensures that internal standardization of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required
- Ensures accurate internal standardization-for example by:
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments.
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardization
- Retains evidence that internal standardization has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work(or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

3. Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardization has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body. Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/ Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardization has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

4. Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

- Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work

- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

6.External moderation-feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks any moderation reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts any moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

f. Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments (Roles and responsibilities)

Subject teacher

- Works with the SENCo (or equivalent role) to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo) (or equivalent role)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ document Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessment including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences- Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

g. Special consideration and loss of work (Roles and responsibilities)

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work

- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ document *A guide to the special consideration process*
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to *Form15-JCQ/LCW* and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

h. Malpractice (Roles and Responsibilities)

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be reported to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures*
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessments or coursework are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself.

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notices to Centres-Sharing NEA material and candidates

work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice

- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments and coursework

- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates-non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates -coursework assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates-social media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams Officer

- Signposts the JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres-Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

i. Post-results services (Roles and responsibilities)

Head of Centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ document post-results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents, carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal

Subject head/lead

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components as detailed in the JCQ document Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres)
- Provides /signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-result services information

- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to centre-assessed work are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

k. Private candidates(Roles and responsibilities)

Subject head/lead

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components/units of non-examination assessment/coursework (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

IV. MANAGEMENT OF ISSUES AND POTENTIAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENTS

Reference to non-examination assessment is intended to include GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant staff are familiar with and follow: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the current JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework • the JCQ document Notice to Centres-Sharing NEA material and candidates' work -www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessmentss 	Head of Centre SLT Exams Officer
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • submit work which is not their own • make available their work to other candidates through any medium • allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material • assist other candidates to produce work • use books, the internet, AI or other sources without acknowledgement • include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material 	

	Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates-non-examination assessments, (where applicable) Information for candidates-coursework assessments - social media-www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media	
	Task setting	
Awarding body set task: It failure/corruption of tasks details where set tasks details accesses from the awarding body	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT Systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Head of Department IT Manager
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Head of Department
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do again to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Subject teacher
Subject teacher long term during the task setting stage	See centre's contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	SLT Head of Department
	Issuing tasks	
Awarding body set tasks not issued to	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course	Head of Department

candidates online		
-------------------	--	--

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Course Information issued to candidates contains details when set tasks will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accesses well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Subject teacher
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Head of Department Exams Officer
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	Head of Department SLT
	Task taking	

Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	SLT Exams Officer
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organized to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	SLT Exams Officer
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ document instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	Head of Department

be authenticated	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (9.Malpractice)and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework(6.Malpractice in coursework)are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Head of Center Exams Officer
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A guide to the special consideration process (2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCo Exams Officer

Advice and feedback

Candidates claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Head of Department SLT
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<p>Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity</p> <p>Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component</p> <p>Candidate confirms /records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage</p>	

;

A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	<p>An investigation is conducted, candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant</p> <p>Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given</p> <p>Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body</p>	<p>Head of Centre</p> <p>Exams Officer</p>
Candidate does not reference information from published source	<p>Candidate is advsed at a general level to reference information before work is submittes for formal assessment</p> <p>Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and(where applicable)</p> <p>Information for candidates-coursework assessments</p> <p>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc.is regulary checked to ensure continued completion</p>	Subject Teacher
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s)is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Subject teacher
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Exams Officer

A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	<p>Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions</p> <p>Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions</p> <p>Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions</p>	Subject teacher
		IT Manager
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	<p>Candidates' detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio. Visual resources</p> <p>Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidates' detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately</p> <p>Where confirmation is unavailable from candidates' records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</p>	Subject Teacher

A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them	Subject teacher
---	--	-----------------

E-mail: bes@burundienglishschool.info

	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits and understood	
--	--	--

Collaboration and group work

Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Head of Department
---	---	--------------------

Authentication procedures

A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm subjects staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Notice to Centers-Sharing NEA material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examinations assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates: coursework assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	Subject Teacher Head of Department
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates-coursework assessments	Subject Teacher

	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject Teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Head of Department

Presentation of the work

Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
--	---	-----------------

Keeping materials secure

Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Head of Department Subject Teacher
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate /sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Subject Teacher
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal process and regular monitoring /internal audit by IT Manager ensures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • access to this material is restricted(insert how) • appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection) • an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates'evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up) 	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it(insert relevant details of how) 	

Task marking-externally assessed components

A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Exams Officer
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher

Task marking-internally assessed components

A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately, where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Head of Department Subject Teacher
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ A guide to the special consideration process(5),to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for the shortfall in work	Subject Teacher Exams Officer

The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (8) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting	Exams Officer
--	---	---------------

	coursework(16),to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments(9.Malpractice) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework(6.Malpractice in coursework)are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Head of Centre Exams Officer
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family ,foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family(e.g. son/daughter)	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Subject Teacher Exams Officer
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A guide to the special consideration process(5),to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for an extension	Exams Officer
After submissions of marks ,it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A guide to the special consideration	Exams Officer

	process(2),to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process	Head of Department Exams Officer

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Actin by
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	

Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstance, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for maing providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made(depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for making or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject Teacher Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year	Head Teacher

work ignores by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SLT
Subject Teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	Head of Department